

From: [McKenna, James \(Jim\)](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [ANDERSON Jim M](#)
Cc: [TARNOW Karen@deg.state.or.us](#); [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [rjw@nwnatural.com](#);
[ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us](#); [Valerie Oster](#); [Carl Stivers](#)
Subject: Stormwater Tech Subgroup
Date: 12/15/2006 12:08 PM

Eric, Chip and Jim:

It may be buried in my email inbox, but I do not recall seeing any follow-up from our Management Team meeting Wednesday about the path forward for the stormwater tech subgroup. Since we are down to the wire in terms of settling the list of sites and the appropriate methodologies, I thought I would circulate my thoughts on the subject:

A) Since time is of the essence, I would like to see the tech subgroup come to resolution by mid-next week. It would be great if they can reach consensus on the list and locations of each sample, and the appropriate methodologies. If not, the tech subgroup should report to the management team and highlight any impasse(s). I think we as managers should weigh the options and attempt to come to agreement on a path forward. It is critical to do so in a timely manner, because the final package will have to go before LWG Exec for approval (i.e., to ensure it meets the conditions set by our Senior Managers). Eric, I know you are concerned about this parliamentary step, but it is an unavoidable procedure we must go through. Having the tech subgroup wrap-up next week will help us get through Exec by end of December.

B) As I stated Wednesday, Karen's summary memo did a good job of laying out the process. However, I believe it is critical to restate the three DQOs at the beginning of the memo, with the recognition that DQOs 1 and 2 (impact to surface water and recontamination of sediment) are shared by the LWG in-water RI/FS and the DEQ JSCS. DQO 3 (source tracing) is strictly a source control objective, and therefore not part of this shared effort (Kristine, I think you did a good job articulating this point Wednesday). A clear representation of the DQOs will help the tech subgroup establish that the list of sites and sampling locations, and the sampling/analytical methodologies, are appropriate and adequate for addressing DQOs 1 and 2 (this is similar to the table Carl generated, and the tech subgroup may want to use this as a starting point).

I hope this helps, and if others have comments or suggestions about the path forward please feel free to chime in.

Thanks,

Jim McKenna
Port of Portland
Phone (503) 944-7325
Fax (503) 944-7353